

Editor, THE DAILY:

In reply to questions directed, during the open meeting of the Committee on Research Policy, toward apparent discrepancies between the actual titles of classified projects and the descriptions in the Committee's report, some Committee members and project directors responded that the project titles were deliberately colored so as to attract military support, while the work actually performed was relatively harmless, and perhaps even led to social benefits.

May I suggest that these project directors, and perhaps also the Committee members, are guilty of a serious breach of the Fundamental Standard. Either they consciously deceived the project sponsors, which means they are being paid for goods they had no intention of delivering, or else they deceived the Committee members, or else both they and the Committee are now deceiving the public.

I wonder whether any of these people would tolerate for an instant evidence of such absence of integrity on the part of one of their students. For my part, I do not see how I can require

honorable behavior from my own students, when faculty members are permitted to play the system both ways for their personal gain.

If the Judicial Council wishes to justify the support and confidence of the Stanford Community, then this is one

situation in which it has the obligation to act.

Robert Fian
Professor of Mathematics