

THE ARENA

MARCH 12, 1969

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

VOL. IV, NO. 6

SDS Versus University Community

by Leo

It is high time that campus liberals and conservatives, who for many decades have fought over questions of industrial structure, states' rights, and the like, de-emphasize their differences and turn their united efforts to preserving decency and democracy in our universities.

The militants of higher education — the SDS, extremists in the B.S.U., invaders from off campus — have made it quite clear that they are bent on destroying the University as we know it. In its place, they want an enormous propaganda machine spewing forth radical doctrine.

In pursuit of this goal, the militant displays an equal quantity of hatred for liberals and conservatives. To be sure, he has a different kind of hatred for these two groups. He sees the conservative as being hopelessly fascistic, while he sees the liberal as being a hypocrite and pied piper towards potentially militant youth.

The series of SDS documents authored by Fred Cohen, Leonard Siegal, Marc Weiss, and David Pugh, which was reported recently in the San Francisco Examiner and which has already been distributed among faculty members, makes clear the SDS's contempt for democratic proceedings and the liberal-conservative ethos. For example:

"It is important to get non-SDS people committed to confrontation as the basic means of achieving radical goals."

"It is essential that we explain why a referendum is actually contrary to basic democratic principles."

"SDS report on Undergraduate Education is mostly liberal ballast."

"We should also attack the powers of the faculty at the department level to hire each other, decide what's taught, and set requirements."



Maoist Professor Bruce Franklin Addresses SDS Rally

"We'd only be latter-day Huddites to oppose all educational reform as liberal milkshops — it makes more sense to concentrate on filling liberal procedural reforms with radical content."

"There's been a lot of talk recently about a Radical Studies Dept. It's potentially a very powerful

organizing tool as well as a concrete, structural goal."

Already many prominent liberals are stressing the danger of militants and the need for liberal-conservative cooperation on this matter. Presidential Assistant Daniel Moynihan, a leader in the battle to transform ghetto life, has called for a union of liberals and conservatives to protect democracy against the militants. The University of Chicago faculty, which contains both liberals and conservatives, presented a unified front against the militants, and thereby forced them to retreat from their sit-in. And acting San Francisco State President Hayakawa (who is a liberal Democrat) has found extensive support among conservatives on campus for his policies.

SIMILARITIES

Beneath the obvious revulsion towards campus violence felt by both liberals and conservatives, there are a number of issues that unite conservatives and liberals and distinguishes them from militants. Basic is their acceptance of democracy based on the principle of majority rule. This means belief in popular elections, a multi-party system, and all the political processes which we take for granted and which have proved remarkably resilient over two centuries. As the SDS statements quoted earlier in this article indicate, majority rule is rejected by the SDS.

Our democracy reflects a faith in rationality and an optimistic view of man — the belief that, given a broad education and maximum opportunity for social mobility, every man can achieve maximum self-fulfillment, and that America is today making substantial efforts to provide these requirements.

Furthermore, liberals and conservatives accept the existence of some form of a mixed economy, in

Continued on page 4.

Colorful Courses

by John Paschall

Beginning with the introduction of a "Black Studies Major" program, a whole kaleidoscope of possible new courses and departments seems to be in the offering at Stanford. Designed to meet the demands of the Society of African Stanford Students (SASS), program will apparently be only the first of many courses to be promoted by various student ethnic and cultural groups. Already the Spanish-American Minorities (SPAM) have listed new demands for university officials, not the least of which is a department of Brown Studies.

In order to respond more effectively to what seems to be the trend of the future and to maintain its present position on the edge of Greatness, Stanford has recently appointed Dr. Roy G. Biv to the position of Dean of Spectrographic Studies. Dr. Biv is not a well-known figure around campus, having spent most of his 42 years at Stanford doing classified research on the synthesis of colorless light. However, one of the Trustees likened his appointment to "finding the proverbial pot of gold at the end of the rainbow."

Dr. Biv at a hastily organized news conference in his office at the Institute for Research at Stanford (IRS), outlined some of the colorful programs of study he has envisioned. "Our most pressing need,"

Continued on page 4.

News Briefs

Representatives of the Community of the Right will meet with President Kenneth Pitzer this afternoon to discuss the recommendations made by the Right to the University Administration on February 10. Acting as negotiators for the Young Republicans will be William Boyd, Mike Kuhl and Eric Salveson. Representing the Young Americans for Freedom will be Douglas Greene, Harvey Hukari and Jack Steven. (See editorial on page 2.)

The Stanford basketball team closed out a dismal season on a positive note by defeating arch enemy Cal by a score of 83-79 in double overtime Friday night. Once again, Don Griffin was the high scorer for the Indians with 24 points. Certain to be a top pro draft choice, Griffin now ranks fifth on Stanford's all time list of scorers.

Officials of Tresidder Union revealed that approximately \$200 worth of damage has resulted from "Liberation Festivals" being held on two successive Friday evenings in Tresidder. The unauthorized festivities were to protest the new closing time of 10:00 p.m. for the Union. Broken glass doors and snapped off table tops accounted for the bulk of the damage. The Union Board resolved the issue of closing times temporarily by hosting a well attended dance in the cafeteria last Friday night.

Outscoring its nearest competition by a margin of nearly 2 to 1, Stanford's debate squad won the sweepstakes championship of the 28th annual Desert Invitational Conference held recently at the University of Arizona at Tucson. While no other school qualified more than two teams for the elimination round of the tournament, Stanford managed to place all of five of its teams. In individual competition, Freshman Donna Kofnovec scored first in debate; Freshmen David Davenport and Jim Podxerwinski scored second and third in extemporaneous speaking; and Senior Frank Allen took third in oratory.

In a 26-1 vote, Stanford faculty representatives last Thursday commended President Kenneth Pitzer for his "prompt and effective" implementation of the Stanford Judicial Council's decision on 29 students who disrupted a Board of Trustees meeting in January. The resolution by the Senate of the Academic Council urged "the entire academic community to support the President on this matter." The Judicial Council unanimously recommended suspended suspensions and fines totaling \$1,900 for the students, who have said they will not pay. In accord with the Judicial Council's recommendation, students who fail to pay at least half the fine by March 31 will not be permitted to enroll for the Spring quarter.

Continued on page 4.

EDITORIAL PITZER RESPONDS

In analyzing President Pitzer's response to the Community of the Right proposals which were presented to the University Administration last month, one is struck by Dr. Pitzer's acknowledgement of the legitimacy of all but one of the requests. He has indicated his agreement with the substance of the Conservative concerns relating to the disruption of the Stanford Judicial Council by student dissidents and to the general issue of violence on campus. He has looked with favor upon the need to revise the selection system for Freshmen sponsors. He has responded positively to the recommendation that ROTC be retained at Stanford. Unfortunately, Dr. Pitzer has seemingly rejected the Right's request that more Conservative professors be hired by the Fall Quarter of 1969. This will undoubtedly be the major point of contention this afternoon when the Community of the Right representatives meet with President Pitzer to discuss the proposals.

What is it at issue here is not so much the hiring of Conservative professors as it is the whole question of creating and maintaining diversity in the academic community. Even SDS, in its own crude manner, has touched on that same question. How does one insure that a variety of idfferent perspectives will be included in the education of students at Stanford? For far too long faculty hegemony over the recruitment and selection of acdemicians to fill departmental vacancies has resulted in the virtual elimination of Conservative viewpoints in such areas as political science, history and english.

Dr. Pitzer has indicated in his letter that professors are selected on the basis of their qualifications and not their political views. What is ignored here is the fact that many instructors are bieng recruited on the basis of their skin tone. The *Arena* applauds the effort to hire more black professors and include black perspectives in education, however we might ask why a similar type of compensatory measure can't be extended to Conservatives?

The *Arena* firmly believes that the current composition of the Stanford faculty, particularly in the social sciences, negates the concept of the university as a free marketplace, of ideas where a variety of views and opinions can be introduced, examined and tested.

Conciously or unconsciously, Conservatives are discriminated against, thus depriving students of the opportunity to receive a full education.

It is the responsibility of the University Administration to see that this campus remains free and open to all points of view. At Columbia University in New York a group of students have brought suit against the Board of Trustees over many of the same issues which Conservatives have raised here. We hope that the same thing doesn't have to happen at Stanford.

Gleim

JEWELER


ARTHUR F. GLEIM
CERTIFIED GEMOLOGIST
American Gem Society

322 UNIVERSITY - DA 3-1331
408 CALIFORNIA - DA 3-6822
119 STANFORD SHOPPING CTR.
DA 5-3533

THE ARENA

PISCES: Mike Cobb, Joe Frawley; ARIES: Martin Taylor; TAURUS: Bill Randolph; GEMINI: Harvey H. Hukari, Jr., Susan Hudgens, Mark Venezia; CANCER: Leon Eymil; LEO: Mike Hirsch; VIRGO: Anne Castle, Bruce Borgerson; LIBRA: Bill Boyd; SAGITTARIUS: Spectator, CAPRICORN: Katy Lewis; AQUARIUS: Bob Tvedt, Leo. SCORPIO: Rich Grey.

The *Arena* is published weekly at Stanford University. All correspondence should be directed to Box 3678, Stanford, California, 94305. All contributions are considered: letters to the editor, short features, lengthy articles and any graphic work. Letters and articles should be typed and double-spaced.



Whitewash Defended

To the Editor:

As a concerned member of the Stanford community I feel it is my duty to defend The Stanford *Daily*. Although the *Daily* is far from ideal, it certainly must be commended for many things — especially the special issue of February 22.

The *Daily* staff planned to take a vacation Thursday afternoon because there was no paper planned for the following day. And remember that many of the staff members are there everyday, publishing a daily newspaper. That in itself is commendable.

A special issue of a newspaper is warranted whenever the editor considers events to be so important that they should be clarified or publicized. The incidents of Thursday, February 21, were such events. Their import was so great that the *Daily* did not wait until Monday to research and study the events of that day, but, to provide the community with a clearer picture of what happened, published a special edition Friday morning and distributed it as if it were simply a normal, planned-for issue. The dedication of the *Daily* staff is most admirable; they were able to print a decent issue, which requires the assembling of a staff — a major problem with the *Daily*, contacting printers, and getting an unscheduled paper printed. Some of the staff did not get to relax until 3 a.m. the day the paper came out. This is a sacrifice that can not be minimized and often the *Daily* staff is working after midnight to finish up the paper that many people complain about and read at breakfast a few hours later. It is unfortunate that The *Arena* did not consider the events of February 21 important enough to warrant a special edition. Instead it chose to wait a week, research that day's events more thoroughly than the *Daily* could in the few hours before

publication, and then tear the *Daily* apart for its coverage.

The "*Daily* reporter" constantly attacked by the *Arena*, was in fact, a reporter for the San Francisco Chronicle as well as for the *Daily*, and a graduate student in communications. She wrote the story that drew bitter fire from the *Arena*. The problems of a reporter should be noted here. Often, the reporter must rely on second-hand information, asking people what they saw or heard, in order to compile a story about the happening. Then, discounting obvious unsubstantiated hearsay, the reporter writes the article. Often things that happen, like the alleged assault on the *Arena* photographer, are not noticed by bystanders or the reporters at the scene. This may be why the *Arena* did not get its photographer's injuries publicized in the *Daily*. To many members of the community, his injuries would not be worthy of recognition in an article that tried to cover as complex an issue as that Thursday's activity. The major reasons

Continued on page 3.

ADVERTISING IN THE ARENA

The *ARENA* is a weekly four page newspaper with extensive distribution to all sectors of the campus community — students, faculty and administration. We feel that our small size and systematic distribution offers the ultimate in exposure for advertising at Stanford. We sell space from one-half column inch on up. Rates vary with ad size and contracted period.

For further information call:

MARK VENEZIA

326-0619

Turn Out The Lights

WHEN THE MUSIC'S OVER

Guess I've got to have a change of scene
'Cause every night I have the strangest dreams...
---Traffic, "Feelin' All Right"

This column, I regret to announce, will be the last in the series by this reviewer. Before I entered this institution over three and one-half years ago, I read a piece of propaganda that stated over 90% of entering Stanford freshmen remain to receive a degree. Although it impressed me at the time, I now find it rather frightening. So I've decided to pack up my Dylan albums and drop out.

I wish I could write you a melody so plain
That could hold you, dear lady, from going insane
And ease you, and soothe you, and cease the pain
Of your useless and pointless knowledge.

---Dylan

Therefore, if Mr. Hukari will spare his blue pencil, I would like to make a few parting comments. First to SDS and hangers-on: Self-righteous indignation can only go so far. A revolution in the Faculty Club dining room is meaningless without a revolution in your own lives. I don't see how that can happen in the protective bosom of Stanford. To the conservatives: Taking pot-shots at the left is only blinding you to the faults of your own philosophy. If you really think this American society is worth preserving, I suggest you take a close look at what corporate capitalism and manifest destiny has done to the peoples of the world, not to mention the human values of our own society. To the Blacks: Yours is an authentic and necessary struggle. If you succeed, you will free more white minds than black ones. Yet I continue to regard you with a mixture of envy and fear --- envy for the way you direct your lives, and fear for what that means to me as a white.

Twenty years of schoolin'
And they put you on the day shift.

---Dylan

Friends have asked me, "Why don't you stick it out and get your degree first?" True, things would be

easier that way. That diploma would serve as a passport to the pleasures and privileges of middle-class American life. After all, that's what we're here for isn't it. Perhaps. But that degree also channels you into a specific role in our society, thereby eliminating a multitude of life styles and varieties of human experience. It's so easy, so secure, to have your life planned out ahead of you. From the womb of your parents to the womb of Stanford to the womb of a profession. Most Stanford students will never have to worry where the next meal is coming from --- most of us never have. If that troubles our conscience, we join SDS to expiate our guilt.

Up on housing project hill
It's either fortune or fame
You must pick one or the other
Though neither are to be what they claim.

---Dylan

I still intend to complete my Grove paper, to be titled No More Than A Man. We all know what it means to be a hippie or frat-rat or intellectual or radical or conservative or dolly. But can we be content to be simply a man or a woman? At Stanford the pressure is intense to excel at something, and to identify with that excellence. The process is similar to our economic system, with each student struggling to increase his "market value" in order to insure his social worth. Sex at Stanford is a good example. Every male knows how intense the competition is, and to simply sit back and enjoy the wonder of being alive can leave you pretty much out of the running. The Stanford social whirl, from the Delt house to SDS, is a slow dance on the killing ground.

Well, enough of that. I wonder if there are any hippies in Alberta?

Ah, I was so much older then,
I'm younger than that now.

by Bruce Borgerson

Continued from page 2.

...LETTER

for what happened and major events were most important; the photographer's injuries were relatively

unimportant under normal circumstances, however. Although the article was not perfect, it did dispell many rumors and questions that went around campus Thursday night. For this reason, I cannot help but commend the *Daily* on the task that it undertook and followed through with the more fully-researched and less-pressured analysis of the following Monday. The issues were extremely complex and at the time, no one knew the whole story. A week later, when the *Arena* wrote its "commentary", the entire picture had been put into perspective and, typically for the *Arena*, an attack upon the *Daily* was launched.

Using the word "stolen" in an article, implies that a legal judgment has been made. It is not an objective word, and "taken" would be a much better term to have used in the *Daily* article. The euphemism could have been replaced by a more direct statement.

You imply that the bookstore rampage was planned. Why didn't you follow this through and present a logical story to prove that premise. Such a constructive report would be much more helpful than crying about the *Daily's* incompetence. And I would like to know who set the figure of "over \$6,000" damage. The *Daily* did not see the bookstore event the way the *Arena* did, but the *Daily* can't have a reporter everywhere and did not have the week or so since the incident to probe further. Also the reporter cannot rely solely on his own observations, as I noted earlier.

The editorial stirred up a lot of controversy even among the *Daily* staff. Most of the staff did not agree with it and it appears that only five people (at most) of the *Daily* staff agreed with the editorial. The *Daily* editorial policy was re-evaluated as a result of that editorial and implementation of the new policy followed.

The *Daily* is the voice of the student body in that letters submitted are printed if space and timeliness permit. The *Daily* simply does not have the time to poll the student body and print the results as the *Daily* editorial, as the *Arena* has implied it should.

Robert Spanner has expressed my present views on the *Arena*. I used to read it to get another perspective on a *Daily* article; now I can't help but consider the *Arena* a right-wing rag and hatesheet. It would be much better to study some issues, personalities, etc. and make in-depth reports as the *Daily* often does. If you think the *Daily* should improve, join its staff and improve the paper from within, instead of making petty criticisms from outside.

I am really surprised that the *Daily* has been sympathetic to the *Arena*, running an article about poor Harvey getting his papers dumped into the water of an immortal Stanford fountain. I hope the *Daily* never again mentions the *Arena* in its pages; it certainly has no reason to include such a scandalsheet in its coverage. The only appropriate place for the word *Arena* to appear is in a circus --- or, with a little imagination --- atop the "newspaper" utilizing that title.

Paul De Liban

EDITOR'S NOTE:

Mr. De Liban is the office manager for The Stanford *Daily*. In response to his question, the figure of "over \$6,000 damage" to the bookstore was set by assistant bookstore manager Ivan Sanderson.

A Kangaroo Court?

by Spectator

The campus quasi-legal system appears, in President Pitzer's words, to have passed "its first major test" by disciplining the disrupters of Trustee business.

One might forgive the men from Law and Order a fleeting feeling of satisfaction. Not only was the Judicial Council's decision unanimous, but even the *Daily* supported it.

Consider, however, as a warning against complacency, paragraph C(1) from the "Holding" section of the Council opinion: Since every defendant clearly violated a written, promulgated and effective policy

"(T)he Council feels it unnecessary to determine whether or not the defendants were also in violation of the Fundamental Standard."

Hmmm. Yesss. Dear old "FUNSTAN," as the Farm's telesemanticists are fond of calling it. Let us repeat together the deathless (?) words of (!) David Starr Jordan:

"Students are expected to show both within and without the University such respect for order, morality, personal honor and the rights of others as is demanded of good citizens. Failure to observe this will be sufficient cause for removal from the University."

Who are the good citizens of this place? What shall we embrace by morality and personal honor? Are good citizens always (automatically?) moral and honorable? Are these hard questions?

Until the Judicial Council explains itself, we may assume that part of its reason for finessing FUNSTAN lies in the acceptable legal principle that, where possible, the specific ought to control --- or, at least, precede --- the general.

Put another way, if a decision can be rested on a particular statement of positive law, this is to be preferred to resolution by philosophical generalities which, especially in the absence of precedent, may be one man's "natural law" and another man's baloney.

So the Council has escaped FUNSTAN for the

moment. Until, that is, some student is charged with defiling the code despite breaking no specific rule. Can we imagine such an allegation?

If such a charge is conceivable, then all the old questions return. Including the converse of the one above: May a student break a stated rule and still be a FUNSTAN follower?

On page 41 of a Stanford University Bulletin, Information, 1969, we find the following doctrinal assurances:

"Attendance at the University is a privilege, not a right . . . Registration as a student constitutes full acceptance of the Fundamental Standard and the Honor Code as well as all other University rules and standards of conduct."

Query to the system (punch-card, or whatever): May a student be said to have accepted fully, and intelligently, that which he does not understand fully? Do you, friend, understand FUNSTAN?

These inquiries ought not to be taken merely as rhetorical. They frame the hard work that lies ahead for the rule-making Student Conduct Legislative Council, on whose clear-headedness and conciseness the Judicial Council sorely depends.

This is not to imply salvation in the Legislative and Judicial Charter of 1968. Its sanctions are quasi-legal at best.

The Council's opinion reads: "This is not a court of law . . ." But then it proceeds to speak of contempt of power, of subpoena, of the privilege against self-incrimination. Declared the opinion: The asserted defense of a *Daily* reporter refusing to testify "has not been recognized by the courts of the land."

So there is this confusing mixture of legal and non-legal. Precise identification may not be necessary or possible now. In the future, however, a court neither fish nor fowl could become kangaroo.

Dutch Goose



3567 Alameda De Las Pulgas
Menlo Park 854-3245

Steiger as the Sergeant



Continued from page 1.

SDS Versus...

which business, government, and private non-profit organizations cooperate to answer people's needs for consumer goods and services, national security, and social security.

In a word, we believe that evolution brings greater social progress in the long run than revolution; that compromise is basic to civilization.

Many of the traditional issues dividing liberals and conservatives have evaporated. Labor-management friction continues to exist, but it is handled in an infinitely more sophisticated manner than 30 years ago. Most conservatives agree that government, at some level, has a strong obligation in welfare, and the excesses of federal bureaucracies have become so clear that many liberals, for example Bobby Kennedy, have called for decentralization to be the wave of the future in solving social problems. Business is learning to accept many social responsibilities that are not necessarily justifiable on the balance sheet.

Certain bones of contention persist and new issues will undoubtedly rise to split liberals and conservatives, but at present the most pressing issue is restoration of a faith in democracy and reason on campus. On this issue liberals and conservatives can agree, and, in fact, they must agree or they will soon find their common heritage a heap of ashes.

Continued from page 1.

News Briefs

For biology fans, this Friday at 4:15 p.m. in Herrin Hall Dr. G. Benjamin Bouck from Yale University will deliver a speech entitled "Mastigonemes: Extracellular Microtubules or Bacterial Flagella?" See you all there.

From Mother Russia: Three Soviet citizens were recently arrested for possessing, reading and distributing anti-Soviet literature. Their crime consisted of owning copies of Barry Goldwater's bestseller, *The Conscience of a Conservative*. It could happen here...

The Sergeant plays out like a nightmarish hand of bridge bid at a game of three no-trump in which the bidder finds that his partner has voids in two suits and, before the hand ends, finds that the game has to be thrown out because the 52nd card is missing.

The nightmare in *The Sergeant* lies not only in Sergeant Callan's frustrated quest for a homosexual lover, but also — and more so for the viewer — in the incongruous motivation for his homosexuality.

Callan (Rod Steiger) makes his unsuccessful attempt while serving as a tough-as-nails First Sergeant at a maintenance base in France, 1952. The young PFC Swanson (John Phillip Law) whom Callan singles out (because "I like your pride.") has a French girl friend (Ludmila Mikael), and although he pals around with Callan, ultimately he violently rejects the sergeant's advances.

The supposed motivation for Callan's deviant behavior is suggested at the film's beginning, in a grainy black-and-white sequence during which Callan — when he was first in France in 1944 — led an attack on a group of Germans and killed several. One German got away, whom Callan chased through the woods until he caught him and choked him to death. End of sequence.

The screen flashes: France, 1952; the film changes to Technicolor; the main portion of the movie begins, with Callan driving into the camp and inspecting the headquarters and the men. He plays it tough, making his outfit toe the line. He stands shoulders back, belly out, giving orders while tapping on the end of a Coke bottle with his index finger. But he melts when he is with Swanson whom he forcibly makes company clerk so that he can have him around in the orderly office.

There's soon no doubt that something is amiss in Callan's character, and Steiger, through various gestures and facial expressions makes this eminently clear. But — now, wait a minute — is that scene with the German soldier supposed to tell us what it is that changed Callan (he was married once for thirty

days, he says, to a girl named Betty and how it happened?

As the philosophers say: *post hoc ergo propter hoc*.

The connection doesn't connect; the argument is fallacious. Perhaps Dennis Murphy, who wrote the screenplay adapted from his novel of the same title, knows how Callan's 1952 homosexuality fits in with his 1944 war experience, but he certainly doesn't bother to let the viewer in on the mystery.

(John Barth's narrator in *The Floating Opera* writes about a similar battle experience, with even more blatant homosexual innuendos, but he states at the end of the section, "If the notion of homosexuality enters your head, you're normal, I think. If you judge either the German sergeant or myself to have been homosexual, you're stupid.") Amen.

And now a few words on Rod Steiger, for a review of a Rod Steiger movie (and they are always his movies) would not be complete without saying something about Rod Steiger. The veteran performer (*The Pawnbroker*, *In the Heat of the Night*, *No Way to Treat a Lady*) has, I fear, almost become a caricature of his characterizations. In *No Way-Lady*, for example, he plays an Irish priest, German plumber, pervert-hairdresser, Italian waiter, and Broadway producer with a mother hang-up — all flawlessly, all superficially.

He is an actor's actor; he controls his voice and gestures to perfection. But precision is not necessarily a virtue in itself (Rudolf Nureyev's leaps are not great just because he keeps his toes pointed, but because all of Nureyev's being leaps along with his body.) Whether Steiger in *The Sergeant* is acting like a bellicose, authoritarian military man or is trying to be a jovial drunk, he is too self-assured in his role and thus too self-contained.

I like Steiger's acting because he knows what he is doing every minute he is on the screen — which is probably why I don't think I love his acting that much.

Susan Hudgens

Continued from page 1.

Biv Outlines Program

he said, "after the immediate requirements of the Black and Brown projects have been met, is to provide a 'Red Studies Major'. The American Indian has long been neglected and this has proved to be a great loss. Especially today, when the fantastic growth of the Hippie Movement has created a tremendous demand for beads and blankets. With this program, we hope to rectify this matter and thus fill a vital need in American society."

When asked what problems he anticipated in carrying out his new assignment, Dr. Biv was quite candid. "To be frank, we've already had one serious altercation. As you know, there are only a few 'pure' colors. What with the many sub-groups at Stanford, we've been forced to resort to the use of various tints, tones, and shades of the so-called 'pure' hues, in order to have enough colors to go around. Our first disagreement was among the Associated American Students of the Orient (AASO) and especially the Chinese and Japanese.

Despite such perplexing dilemmas, however, Dr. Biv was confident solutions could be found. "We resolved the issue by assigning to the Japanese a 'Saffron Studies' program while the Chinese version was entitled the 'Citron Studies Major'. This was not received entirely satisfactorily, however, and I don't expect the enrollment to be very substantial. Most of the Chinese students seemed somewhat soured by the whole idea and one even remarked that he thought the program as proposed would turn out to be a lemon. Incidentally, all the other Far East subjects have been amalgamated into a 'Canary' program. This is probably the best result of the entire unfortunate situation."

Dr. Biv wanted to enumerate the countless other plans that were being considered but by this time, the eyes of most of those present had become quite bloodshot from chromatographic leakages emanating from his laboratory apparatus. Hence, he was forced

to end the press conference; however, he concluded by refracting one immortal comment through the group. "If we are successful, and there is every reason to believe we will be, the Stanford mascot will soon be changed to the polychromatic chameleon."

GOING ON AN

INTERVIEW TRIP?

BOOK AND CHARGE YOUR TRAVEL WITH

SEQUOIA TRAVEL

TRESIDDER UNION
STANFORD, CALIF.
323-9401

*Please call for information regarding necessary documents.

UNDERSTAND THE CONSERVATIVES ARE ADOPTING SOME OF OUR TACTICS OF CONFRONTATIONS, DEMONSTRATIONS, AND DEMANDS?



NOT QUITE--THEY DON'T BELIEVE IN VIOLENCE OR BREAKING THE LAW!



SEE--I TOLD YOU THEY HAVE NO PRINCIPLES!



Mike Cobb