

Save

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

STANFORD - Starting Monday, faculty and staff members will identify individual demonstrators ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ who refuse to leave the Applied Electronics Laboratory at Stanford, Provost Richard W. Lyman ~~xxx~~ announced Sunday night (APRIL 13).

"The President and I are ~~xxxx~~ continuing to deal with this disruption by interal means," Lyman said. About 500 persons attending a rally at the AEL booded when his statement was read.

Mainly students, the demonstrators occupied the building April 9 to protest classified and war-related research on campus and at Stanford Research Institute. On April 10 and 11, they were warned/that their sit-in violated campus policy and would be referred to the Stanford Judicial Council, a student-faculty group.

The demonstration rules were developed after extensive student and faculty consultation. Issued in October, they were officially adopted by the Senate of the Academic Council, which also called them "appropriate for all members of the University community." *They apply to students, faculty and staff.*

Primary responsibility for their enforcement has been placed in the Judicial Council, whose ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ charter has been formally sanctioned by ~~both~~ students, faculty, and officers of the University.

An initial group of 24 faculty and staff members/ ^{voluntarily} agreed to participate in the identification process. When their names were read at the evening rally, they were derided as "piglets."

Working in teams of two, they will approach individuals in the building, announce their own identity, and ask those there to leave. Any who refuse will be asked for identification.

The demonstrators voted to give their names as "The April Third Movement," designating the date when their demands were officially formulated. Several speakers suggested this might be a matter for individual decision, however.

"We hope there will be voluntary cooperations with this procedure," Lyman said. In talking with the demonstrators, the interviewers will note that "Many of you have decided that your moral concerns and tactical judgments make you willing to face University disciplinary processes in order to achieve your objectives. Giving your name...now is consistent with these moral principles."

Lyman's statement was issued after faculty leaders urged the demonstrators to leave the building Sunday. "We realize that many persons involved in the disruption wish to hasten decisions on important matters, and we ask that the sit-in end so that these matters can be dealt with effectively," they declared.

Prof. Leonard Schiff, chairman of the Senate of the Academic Council, read their statement at ABL in the afternoon and evening. He announced the Senate of the Academic Council would hold a special meeting Tuesday night (April 15) on research policy. The Committee on Research Policy will hold a hearing on this topic at 3:15 p.m. Monday in Room 66 of the Graduate School of Business and has scheduled a meeting of its own Tuesday.

Replying to demonstrators demands for two special trustee meetings on campus next week, Board President W. Farmer Fuller III said that for the trustees to act on research policy Monday would "pre-empt any further faculty consideration of this issue."

A six-month student-faculty study of University-SRI relations is scheduled for publication Tuesday. Fuller said that for the Board to meet on Thursday and act on SRI relations "would provide practically no opportunity for reasoned consideration of this issue and expression of opinions by individuals, on campus and at SRI, who are affected by its outcome."

Last week, the trustees announced they would hold committee hearings on campus "as soon as possible" after the report was published. In a statement read at Sunday's rally, Fuller said he hoped to set a date and name the committee in about two days.

The Senate has scheduled a special meeting ~~on SRI April 22~~ to consider the SRI report April 22.

###