

# THE FARM NEWS

## FRANKLIN CASE DECISION DUE SOON

The Advisory Board is now deliberating over transcripts and briefs trying to decide if Bruce Franklin should be fired for his actions last year. Two weeks ago the board received the final briefs from Franklin and the Administration.

On the question of standards, the University states: "In developing the 'law', it is entirely reasonable to require a faculty member to adhere to standards which would not be required of a man in the street." In other words, constitutional rights need not apply at Stanford.

The board is taking a long time to make up its mind. That is because the decision is going to be based far more on the political aspect than the factual ones. The question the Board is trying to resolve is whether it is necessary and more important to protect the university status quo than it is to give faculty and students the freedoms granted by the constitution.

The decision will be out in the next week or so. If they decide to fire Franklin, we know from last quarter that the community will not stand for it.

## LYMAN RE-WRITES THE LAW

Every time you win by the man's rules, he changes the game on you.

--Malcolm X

Last spring the Student Senate sent a proposal for reform of the Stanford Judicial Council to the appropriate university committee. Stalled by the administration, the proposal was never even considered. In response, the senate has refused to appoint a student committee to choose new student members for the SJC. President Lyman, using his emergency powers, has set up a new procedure--the Interim Judicial Panel.

The IJP will have a hearing officer from outside the university to hear the charges against students. He will be chosen from a group of local lawyers. A panel of 3 professors, 3 students and Kaplan will rule on the hearing officer's decisions.

Meanwhile, Prosecutor John Schwartz, is devising new rules for the IJP. The rules will allow the panel to suspend anyone who "disrupts" hearings or witnesses who refuse to incriminate themselves after being given immunity from university prosecution, which is a violation of the constitutional right to the protection of the 5th Amendment.

The first case to be tried under these procedures will be Mike Holman's, which is already triple jeopardy--he has a civil suit, injunction violation and now IJP charges against him, all stemming from the same incident. Who does this judicial system protect? The Stanford community,

## CONSCIOUSNESS RAISERS

Gurley--The Marxian and Radical Tradition. Econ 120 11 M-F 5 units

An analysis of the views of influential economic thinkers in the Marxist and radical tradition.

Gurley--Economic development in East Asia. Econ 121; 2:15, M-F 5 units.

Impact of Marxist ideology on economic development.

Flores--Marxism and Literature Germ 290; Tues 2:15-4:05, 4 Units

A study of Marxist writers and critics

SWOPSI, David Ranson--Local Political Economy, Tues, 7pm

A study of Stanford and Land Use in the Midpeninsula.

SWOPSI, Dissent in the Academy continuing from last quarter.

Franklin--Lit. and Revolution, 5 units, time and place to be announced

Study of revolutionary 20th century literature.

Ober-- Basic Readings in Marxism Germ 135A, 10 MWF, 3 units

Readings in classic Marxist Leninist texts and M-L writings coming out of America today.



## WAR RECRUITERS TO ATTEMPT RETURN TO STANFORD

A lot has been happening at the Placement Center recently. Last year we passed a student referendum against war recruiting, and this Fall students, workers, faculty and community people united to implement it when it became clear the Lyman feel no obligation to take the will of the majority of the Stanford community into account. As a result, the Air Force recruiter failed in his mission, because no one signed up, and the Honeywell recruiter was sent running to his car. In addition, the Center was forced to make concessions to the community, and thus recruiting by Venceremos and the Child Care Cent or lat er took place. Yet the Administration persists in challenging the democratic rights of this community in addition to the rights of Third World peoples to life and self-determination, and our struggle to transform Stanford into an institution for serving the needs of the people will go on this quarter. Honeywell and others will return soon, and when they do, we will be ready for them!

## WAR CRIMINAL RETURNS TO AREA!

David Packard, one-time Chairman of the Board of Trustees, is coming back. He has resigned from his post in the Defense Department and is returning to Palo Alto to resume his presidency of Hewlett-Packard, the world's largest manufacturer of electronics testing equipment, and a major war-profititor. Whether or not he will also resume his position on the Stanford Board of Trustees is an open question. Packard has continually emphasized the connection between the aircraft and electronics industries and the laboratories at Stanford. His work will continue to be directly related to America's war on Indochina as it depends more and more on aircraft and advanced electronic systems. He is clearly partly responsible for the recent bombings of North Vietnam, and he will undoubtedly continue to increase Stanford's involvement in the war.

In a speech in the City last September (the people had driven him out of Palo Alto), Packard said that Stanford is infiltrated by a "hard core" that "will have to be eliminated," also that tension in this country will have to come to a head some day "and it might as well be now"---we agree. David Packard is coming back, we need to prepare a proper welcome here at his alma mater.

## RENEWED BOMBING OF NORTH VIETNAM SIGNIFIES U.S. FAILURE

The United States is suffering disastrous military defeats in Cambodia and Laos. In Cambodia in particular the puppet regime is on the verge of collapse. This is the beginning of the dry season offensive. Phnom Penh is surrounded and the Pathet Lao have already retaken the Plaine des Jarres. Bombing short of total annihilation, has never in the past halted the liberation forces and is more of a threat than a physical deterrent.

The U.S. recently launched five days of intense bombing against the North, and it is threatening Hanoi that it will continue the destruction. The U.S. is erroneously assuming that Hanoi can and will call off the liberation forces in Cambodia and Laos. This is the real reason for the bombing. Bombing Hanoi as a "protective reaction" is a tactical cover-up for strategic responses to military defeats elsewhere in Indochina. All Power to the Indochinese liberation forces!

**STANFORD**  
**VENCEREMOS**